

**EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS**

Page 1

FOR: Requirements Contract to Furnish & Install Pavement Undulations on Various City Streets

Bid File No. 12402144

Bid Opening: 07/16/2024

BIDDERS

TOTAL NET BID AMOUNT

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Witbro Inc., dba Seal Rite Paving
4237 W. Swift Ave.
Fresno, CA 93722 | \$406,611.55 |
| 2. Dawson-Mauldin, LLC
3410 McCall Ave.
Selma, CA 93662 | \$520,000.00 |
| 3. Juarez Brothers General Engineering Inc.
17244 El Dorado Drive
Madera, CA 93636 | \$812,227.75 |

Each bidder has agreed to allow the City sixty-four (64) days from date bids are opened to accept or reject their bid proposal. Purchasing requests that you complete the following sections and return this bid evaluation to the Purchasing Division at the latest by Monday, August 05, 2024 5:00 P.M.

The current contract pricing is 0 % above/below the previous contract pricing. If the overage is greater than 10% or only one bid was received, give explanation:

BACKGROUND OF PROJECT (To be completed by Evaluating Department/Division. Explain need for project/equipment):

The City of Fresno has identified the need for the installation of pavement undulations (speed humps) on select neighborhood streets throughout the City. The award of this requirements contract does not specify the locations of where speed humps are to be installed, rather the award of this requirements contract establishes a firm price, secured through competitive bidding, for a specified time and allows Public Works to order the installation of speed humps as needed. All orders for speed humps will be in accordance with the Department of Public Works Residential Street Speed Hump Policy. Upon approval by the Public Works Traffic and Planning Division, a work order will then be issued for the installation of speed humps.

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

Page 2

FOR: Requirements Contract to Furnish & Install Pavement Undulations on Various City Streets

Bid File No. 12402144
Bid Opening: 07/16/2024

DEPARTMENT CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION:

☐ Award a contract in the amount of \$ _____
to _____
as the lowest responsive and responsible bidder.

Remarks:

☒ Reject all bids. Reason: After the bids were received, staff became aware of an issue with the bid specifications that would compromise the City's ability to apply liquidated damages if a contract were awarded, and the contractor failed to perform the work within the specified timeframe. This concern was routed to the City Attorney's office for review, who recommended that Council reject all bids, for staff to make an adjustment to the bid specifications and rebid. By awarding the contract as currently bid, the City would not be able to apply liquidated damages, essentially giving the contractor up to one year to complete the work.

Department Head Approval



Brian E. Russell

Title: Assistant Director of Public Works

Date: 8/9/24

EVALUATION OF BID
PROPOSALS

Page 3

FOR: Requirements Contract to Furnish & Install Pavement Undulations on Various City Streets

Bid File No. 12402144
Bid Opening: 07/16/2024

☒ Approve Dept. Recommendation

☐ Disapprove

☐ See Attachment

☒ Approve GSD/Purchasing Recommendation

☐ Disapprove

GENERAL SERVICES DEPARTMENT

CITY MANAGER

 08/12/2024
Purchasing Manager Date

 8/14/24
City Manager or Designee Date

 08/12/2024
For: General Services Director Date

FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

PROGRAM:

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>	<u>TOTAL OR CURRENT</u>	<u>ANNUALIZED COST</u>
Direct Cost	_____	_____
Indirect Cost	_____	_____
TOTAL COST	_____	_____
Additional Revenue or Savings Generated	_____	_____
Net City Cost	_____	_____
Amount Budgeted (If none budgeted, identify source)	_____	_____